

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,985	02/17/2004	David Huang	EQUUS-106A	8892
75	90 06/15/2006		EXAM	INER
Bruce B. Brunda			BROADHEAD, BRIAN J	
STETINA BRU	NDA GARRED & BRU	CKER		
Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
75 Enterprise			3661	
Aliso Viejo, CA	A 92656		DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summan		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/779,985	HUANG, DAVID				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian J. Broadhead	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS (a). In no event, however, may a reply be the string apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ap</u>	oril 2006					
· · ·		action is non-final.					
′—	Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	1			
-/-	closed in accordance with the practice under E	, , ,					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
• —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8)□							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
•	9)⊡ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	•			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/779,985 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Alfaro et al., 5491418.
- 3. Alfaro et al. disclose connecting the first connector to an I/O connector on the diagnostic device, powering up and initializing the diagnostic device, and retrieving cable identification information unique to the physical layer features of the cable on line 50, on column 1, through line 20, on column 2; the data is indicative of the first and second connector and their configurations on column 3; the first connector includes a jumped pins as identification info on lines 55-60, on column 3; polling until successful communications is established in column 6; and one of the protocols is J1850 on line 65, on column 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,985 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

5. Claims 10, 13, and 16-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alfaro et al., 5491418.

6. Alfaro et al. disclose the limitations as set forth above; and a lookup table on lines 5-15, on column 5. They do not disclose the second connector is a standard OBD-II connector or polling when one is present; and the diagnostic device includes a display, keyboard, computer readable mediums having all the protocol codes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a diagnostic device with any second connector, and containing any protocol software because it is a design choice. It would been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a diagnostic device with a display and keyboard because there needs to be input and output devices for a user to operate the device.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4-5-06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The argument that Applicant's invention is somehow different because it has a lookup for a communications protocol is not convincing because the cited reference discloses identifying the communications configuration of the vehicle on lines 62-64, on column 1. While the reference may not explicitly state "protocol", this is communications configuration is equivalent. The applicant seems to think that the cited reference only identifies the connector being used. The applicant should realize that the reference discloses using this connector identification to determine the communications configuration just the same as applicant's invention does. The argument that it wouldn't

Art Unit: 3661

be obvious to determine if the cable has an OBD-II connector is not convincing because the cited reference determines from the connector what communications configuration is used by the vehicle. If the communications configuration is OBD-II, then it is inherently going to be using an OBD-II connector. Using OBD-II connectors would have been extremely obvious since it is required by law in the United States.

Page 4

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Broadhead whose telephone number is 571-272-6957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,985 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJB

MANNIA DE BUNEXAMINA GROUP 2 6 0